REMARKS

Claims 1-24 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-24 have been rejected.

Claims 1, 7 and 13 have been amended as set forth herein.

Claims 1-24 remain pending in this application.

Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

I. CLAIM REJECTIONS -- 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0069955 to *Gieseke*, *et al.* (hereinafter "Gieseke") in view of Applicant's own Admitted Prior-Art (hereinafter "AAPA"). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

In *ex parte* examination of patent applications, the Patent Office bears the burden of establishing a prima facie case of obviousness. MPEP § 2142, p. 2100-133 (8th ed. rev. 4, October 2005). Absent such a prima facie case, the applicant is under no obligation to produce evidence of nonobviousness. *Id.* To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met: *Id.* First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. *Id.* Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. *Id.* Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. *Id.* The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable

L:\SAMS01\00307

expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure.

Id.

Amended independent Claim 1 requires a first object-oriented telecommunication device that

comprises an object conduit management information base (MIB) manager that is capable of

generating an MIB data structure, where the MIB data structure represents a plurality of objects in a

second object-oriented telecommunication device. In contrast, Gieseke describes an object-oriented

SNMP agent for a first network element that models only the components of the first network

element. Gieseke does not describe the SNMP agent modeling objects of a second network element.

For at least these reasons, amended independent Claim 1 and Claims 2-6, which depend from

Claim 1, are patentable over Gieseke. Amended independent Claim 13 recites limitations analogous

to the novel and non-obvious limitations emphasized in traversing the rejection of Claim 1.

Therefore, Claim 13 and Claims 14-24, which depend from Claim 13, also are patentable over

Gieseke.

Amended independent Claim 7 recites a first object-oriented telecommunication device

comprising an object conduit management information base (MIB) agent that is capable of receiving

an MIB data structure from a second object-oriented telecommunication device. Using the MIB data

structure, the MIB agent is capable of invoking a method of a first object of a plurality of objects

executable by processing circuitry associated with the first object-oriented telecommunication

device.

L:\SAMS01\00307

-12-

In contrast, Gieseke describes an SNMP agent that receives SNMP get messages, SNMP set

messages, and CLI command messages. The Applicants are unable to find in Gieseke any

description of such messages including an MIB data structure, as recited in Claim 7. For at least

these reasons, amended independent Claim 7 and Claims 8-12, which depend from Claim 7, are

patentable over Gieseke.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the § 103

rejection with respect to Claims 1-24.

L:\SAMS01\00307

-13-

Docket No. 2003.10.006.WS0 Serial No. 10/826,879

Patent

CONCLUSION

As a result of the foregoing, the Applicant asserts that the remaining Claims in the Application are in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests an early allowance of such Claims.

If any issues arise, or if the Examiner has any suggestions for expediting allowance of this Application, the Applicant respectfully invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below or at jmockler@munckcarter.com.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees connected with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0208.

Respectfully submitted,

MUNCK CARTER, P.C.

Date: September 22, 2008

John T. Mockler

Registration No. 39,775

John J. Mockler

P.O. Drawer 800889 Dallas, Texas 75380 (972) 628-3600 (main number) (972) 628-3616 (fax)

E-mail: *jmockler@munckcarter.com*